

3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996

**Lewis Roca**  
**ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE**

W. WEST ALLEN  
Nevada Bar No. 5566  
WAllen@lrrc.com  
COLBY J. SPRINGER (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
CSpringer@lrrc.com  
DALE KOTCHKA-ALANES  
Nevada Bar No. 13168  
MKotchka-Alanes@lrrc.com  
Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP  
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway  
Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
Telephone: 702.949.8200  
Facsimile: 702.949.8398  
*Attorneys for Defendants NRT Technology Corp. and NRT Technologies, Inc.*

CALLIE A. BJURSTROM  
callie.bjurstrom@pillsburylaw.com  
STEVEN A. MOORE  
steve.moore@pillsburylaw.com  
NICOLE S. CUNNINGHAM  
Nicole.cunningham@pillsburylaw.com  
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP  
501 West Broadway, Ste. 1100  
San Diego, CA 92101  
(619) 234-5000  
Fax: (619) 236-1995

F. CHRISTOPHER AUSTIN  
Nevada Bar No. 6559  
Weide & Miller, Ltd.  
7251 W. Lake Mead Blvd.  
Suite 530  
Las Vegas, NV 89128-8373

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Global Cash Access, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

GLOBAL CASH ACCESS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NRT TECHNOLOGY CORP., a Canadian corporation, and NRT TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Nevada corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-00822-MMD-GWF

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES**

**(FIRST REQUEST)**

Pursuant to Local Rules 6-1, 16.1-3, and 26-4, Plaintiff GLOBAL CASH ACCESS, INC. (“Plaintiff” or “GCA”), and Defendants NRT TECHNOLOGY CORP. and NRT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (“Defendants” or “NRT”) submit the following stipulation and proposed order to amend the deadlines in the current scheduling order (Dkt. # 38).

1 **A. BACKGROUND**

2 GCA filed its complaint for patent infringement and federal and state unfair competition  
3 and tort claims on May 1, 2015. (Dkt. # 1.) An investigation pending before the International  
4 Trade Commission (ITC) entitled *In the Matter of Certain Automated Teller Machines and Point*  
5 *of Sale Devices and Associated Software Thereof*, Case No.: Inv. No. 337-TA-958, and a petition  
6 for Covered Business Method review of U.S. Patent No. 6,081,792 (CBM 2015 00167) filed with  
7 the Patent and Trial Appeal Board of the United States Patent and Trademark Office both involve  
8 the same patent-in-suit for this matter and, in the case of the ITC investigation, certain of the  
9 unfair competition claims. On June 22, 2015, NRT filed a motion to dismiss challenging the  
10 existence of statutory subject matter in the asserted patent. (Dkt. # 5.)

11 **B. ITC PROCEEDINGS**

12 In the original scheduling order, both the parties and the Court anticipated that the parties'  
13 claim construction briefing in this case would follow the issuance of the ITC claim construction  
14 order and that any claim construction hearing in this case would occur after the evidentiary  
15 hearing for the ITC then scheduled for March 2016. (See Dkt. # 38, Scheduling Order § G.)

16 On December 22, 2015, the ITC Administrative Law Judge issued a claim construction  
17 order finding the term "processor" indefinite and declining to construe the remaining disputed  
18 claim terms.

19 On December 29, 2015, Everi Payments, Inc. (the new name/successor entity to GCA)  
20 filed a motion for reconsideration or, alternatively, for certification for interlocutory appeal to the  
21 Commission.

22 The evidentiary hearing previously scheduled for March 2016 has, in light of the  
23 aforementioned claim construction, been stayed pending further motion practice at the  
24 Commission.

25 **C. NEW PROPOSED DISCOVERY DEADLINES**

26 1) Completed Discovery

27 The parties exchanged initial disclosures on September 11, 2015. Plaintiff served its  
28 infringement contentions on September 11, 2015. Defendants served their noninfringement and

invalidity contentions on October 23, 2015. Plaintiff served its response to Defendants' noninfringement and invalidity contentions on November 6, 2015. The parties exchanged lists of proposed terms for claim construction on December 1, 2015.

2) Discovery That Remains to Be Completed

The parties have yet to exchange proposed claim constructions with supporting intrinsic and extrinsic evidence. The parties have not yet filed a joint claim construction and prehearing statement, nor have they engaged in claim construction briefing. Phase II discovery has not yet been initiated.

3) Reasons for Extending the Time Limits Set by the Original Discovery Plan

The parties submit that in the interests of efficiency and a conservation of resources by both the parties and the Court, further claim construction exchanges and briefing should occur after motions regarding the ITC claim construction order have been decided.

4) Proposed Schedule for Completing All Remaining Discovery

The parties propose that all remaining deadlines in the current scheduling order be extended to accommodate motion practice before the ITC relating to claim construction. The parties note that they may seek to further extend the claim construction and other deadlines pending the outcome of the events at the ITC, including review by the Commission, by way of status reports filed every 90 days or within 5 court days of any order issued by the ITC. For now, the parties propose the following schedule:

**a. Claim Construction and Protective Order**

EVENT	PREVIOUS DEADLINE	NEW DEADLINE
Exchange of Proposed Claim Constructions and Supporting Intrinsic and Extrinsic Evidence (LR 16.1-14)	December 15, 2015	April 5, 2016
Parties File Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement LR 16.1-15)	January 13, 2016	May 5, 2016
Opening Claim Construction Brief from Plaintiff (LR 16.1-16)	January 28, 2016	May 19, 2016
Responsive Claim Construction Briefs from Defendant (LR 16.1-16)	February 11, 2016	June 2, 2016

1	Reply Claim Construction Brief from Plaintiff (LR 16.1-16)	February 18, 2016	June 9, 2016
2	Claim Construction Hearing (LR 16.1-17)	Mid-April 2016 after the trial in the ITC proceeding and as the Court sets.	After June 9, 2016, or completion of the ITC evidentiary hearing, as the Court sets.
3			
4			
5			
6	Filing of Protective Order	September 4, 2015	Before active discovery begins in Phase II.
7			
8			

9 **b. Local Rule 16-19(e)(3) – Expert Disclosures**

10	EVENT	DEADLINE
11	Opening expert reports on issues for which the serving party has the burden of proof	75 days after entry of claim construction order
12		
13	Rebuttal expert reports, on issues for which the other party has the burden of proof	45 days after service of opening expert reports
14		
15	Expert discovery completed	45 days after service of rebuttal expert reports
16		

17 **c. Local Rule 26-1(e)(4) – Dispositive Motions**

18 The parties propose that the deadline for filing dispositive motions remain 45 days after  
19 the close of expert discovery. The parties propose that the deadline for filing the joint pretrial  
20 order remain 60 days after the deadline for filing dispositive motions or 60 days after the  
21 Court's decision on dispositive motion(s), whichever is later. Pursuant to LR 26-1(e)(6), the  
22 disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and any objections thereto shall be included in  
23 the pretrial order.

24 **d. Settlement Conferences**

25	EVENT	DEADLINE
26	Pre-Claim Construction Settlement Conference	None in light of three mandatory ITC settlement conferences
27		
28	Post-Claim Construction Settlement Conference	Within 30 days after entry of the Claim Construction Order

Pre-Trial Settlement Conference

Within 30 days after filing the Pre-Trial Order

Dated: January 8, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP

Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

By: /s/ W. West Allen  
 W. WEST ALLEN  
 Nevada Bar No. 5566  
 WAllen@lrrc.com  
 COLBY J. SPRINGER (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
 CSpringer@lrrc.com  
 DALE KOTCHKA-ALANES  
 Nevada Bar No. 13168  
 MKotchka-Alanes@lrrc.com  
 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway  
 Suite 600  
 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996

By: /s/ Nicole S. Cunningham  
 CALLIE A. BJURSTROM  
 callie.bjurstrom@pillsburylaw.com  
 STEVEN A. MOORE  
 steve.moore@pillsburylaw.com  
 NICOLE S. CUNNINGHAM  
 Nicole.cunningham@pillsburylaw.com  
 501 West Broadway, Ste. 1100  
 San Diego, CA 92101

*Attorneys for Defendants NRT Technology Corp.  
 and NRT Technologies, Inc.*

Weide & Miller, Ltd.  
 By: /s/ F. Christopher Austin  
 F. CHRISTOPHER AUSTIN  
 Nevada Bar No. 6559  
 7251 W. Lake Mead Blvd.  
 Suite 530  
 Las Vegas, NV 89128-8373

*Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 Global Cash Access*

IT IS SO ORDERED:



Hon. George W. Foley  
 United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: January 12, 2016

3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 600  
 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996

**Lewis Roca**  
 ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE